arXiv:1402.3373v1 [hep-th] 14 Feb 2014

Field-dependent quantum gauge transformation

Sudhaker Upadhyay*
S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences,
Block JD, Sector III, Salt Lake, Kolkata -700098, India.

In this paper we generalize the quantum gauge transformation of Maxwell theory obtained through gaugeon formalism. The generalization is made by making the bosonic transformation parameter field-dependent. The Jacobian of vacuum functional under field-dependent quantum gauge transformation is calculated explicitly. We show that the quantum gauge transformation with a particular choice of field-dependent parameter connects the gaugeon actions of Maxwell theory in two different gauges. We establish the result by connecting two well-known gauges, namely, Lorentz gauge and axial gauge.

I. INTRODUCTION

Gauge theories are the most successful theories explaining the dynamics of elementary particles and play a very crucial role in the unification of fundamental interactions. Being first in all the gauge theories, the Maxwell theory of electromagnetism has become one of the main pillars of modern theoretical physics playing the key role in the formulation and the development of Einstein's special theory of relativity. Quantum electrodynamics (QED) is an extension of Maxwell theory describing the electromagnetic interaction of the nature.

In the quantization of QED, people generally consider the gauge symmetry at the classical level but not at the quantum level because the quantum theory can be defined *properly* only after fixing the gauge. However, being gauge-fixed the theory does not remain the (local) gauge invariant. The quantum gauge transformation for QED was first studied by Yokoyama utilizing a different formalism which is commonly known as gaugeon formalism [1]. Yokoyama gaugeon formalism provides a wider framework to quantize the general gauge theories [1–5]. The main idea behind the gaugeon formalism is to study the quantum gauge freedom by extending the configuration space with the introduction of some set of extra fields, so-called gaugeon fields, in the effective theory. Since, the gaugeon fields do not appear in the physical processes. Therefore, they are not the physical fields. In fact, the gaugeon fields yield negative normed states in the theory which results the negative probability [1]. Hence, one needs to remove the unphysical modes present in the theory associated with gaugeon fields. Firstly, the Gupta-Bleuler type subsidiary condition was implemented to remove the unphysical gaugeon modes. But this Gupta-Bleuler type subsidiary condition had been founded certain limitations [1]. Further, the properties of BRST symmetry were utilized to get rid of such limitations of physical subsidiary conditions by replacing them into a single Kugo-Ojima-type condition [6–9]. Along with BRST symmetry the extended gaugeon action posses the quantum gauge transformation under which the action remains *form* invariant. Incidentally, Hayakawa and Yokoyama have founded that within renormalization procedure the gauge parameters get shifted from their original values [10]. However, within the framework of gaugeon formalism a shift in gauge parameter occurs naturally which gets identified with the renormalized parameter [1]. The geugeon formalism has already been utilized by many people in the context of various gauge theories [11–17]. Recently, the generalization of BRST symmetry has analysed in the context of geugeon formalism [18].

Although the generalization of the BRST transformation by making the transformation parameter finite and field-dependent has been studied extensively [19–31], but the generalization of quantum gauge transformation in the similar fashion has not yet been investigated. Therefore, we take this opportunity

*Electronic address: sudhakerupadhyay@gmail.com; sudhaker@boson.bose.res.in

to generalize the quantum gauge transformation of Maxwell theory within gaugeon formalism. Remarkable difference in these symmetry transformations is that the transformation parameters of the BRST transformation and the quantum gauge transformation follow different statistics. For instance, the parameter of the BRST transformation is fermionic in nature, however, the parameter of the quantum gauge transformation is bosonic in nature. Therefore, the novelty of the present work is to generalize the quantum gauge transformation by making the bosonic parameter field-dependent.

In the present work, we first emphasize the effective Maxwell theory analysing the quantum gauge symmetry through Yokoyama gaugeon formalism. For this purpose, we extend the configuration space by introducing the gaugeon fields and corresponding ghost fields. Then, we investigate the quantum gauge symmetry for the extended Maxwell action, which we call the gaugeon-Maxwell action, incorporating some extra quantum fields. Furthermore, the quantum gauge symmetry is generalized by making the transformation parameter field-dependent. The resulting field-dependent quantum gauge transformation leads to the the non-trivial field-dependent Jacobian within the functional integral. We compute the Jacobian of the field-dependent quantum gauge transformation explicitly. Remarkably, for a particular choice of bosonic field-dependent parameter the Jacobian changes the gaugeon-Maxwell action from Lorentz gauge to axial gauge. Although we establish the results with the help of an specific example, but these hold for any arbitrary gauge.

This paper is organized in the following manner. In section II, we discuss the quantum gauge transformation for the Maxwell theory within the framework of Yokoyama gaugeon formalism. Furthermore, in section III, we generalize the quantum gauge transformation by making the transformation parameter field-dependent. The novelty of such field-dependent quantum gauge transformation is described in section IV. We summarize the present work in the last section.

II. MAXWELL THEORY IN GAUGEON FORMALISM

In this section, we discuss the Yokoyama gaugeon formalism analysing the quantum gauge freedom for Maxwell theory in covariant and non-covariant gauges. In order to achieve the goal, we begin with the effective action for Maxwell theory in Lorentz (covariant) gauge defined by

$$S_M^L = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - A_\mu \partial^\mu B + \frac{\lambda}{2} B^2 - i \partial^\mu c_\star \partial_\mu c \right], \tag{1}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the usual antisymmetric field-strength tensor for the gauge field A_μ. Here B, c and $c_\star$ are the multiplier (auxiliary) field, the Faddeev-Popov ghost field and the anti-ghost field respectively.

However, in accordance with above, the effective Maxwell action described in the axial (non-covariant) gauge is defined by

$$S_M^A = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - A_\mu \eta^\mu B + \frac{\lambda}{2} B^2 - i \eta^\mu c_\star \partial_\mu c \right], \tag{2}$$

where η_μ is an arbitrary constant four vector. The effective actions S_M^L and S_M^A are invariant under the following nilpotent BRST transformations (i.e. $\delta_b^2 = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_b A_\mu &= -\partial_\mu c \, \eta, \quad \delta_b c = 0, \\ \delta_b c_\star &= iB \, \eta, \qquad \delta_b B = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where η is an infinitesimal, anticommuting but global parameter.

Now, the gaugeon effective action corresponding to the Maxwell theory (1) is obtained by introducing the gaugeon field Y and its associated field $Y_\star$ (both subjected to the Bose-Einstein statistics) as follows [32]

$$S_Y^L = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - A_\mu \partial^\mu B + \partial_\mu Y_\star \partial^\mu Y + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (Y_\star + \alpha B)^2 - i \partial^\mu c_\star \partial_\mu c \right], \tag{4}$$

where α denotes the group vector valued gauge-fixing parameter and ε refers the $(\pm)$ sign factor. The quantum gauge transformation, which leaves the quantum action (4) form invariant, is demonstrated as

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_\mu \longrightarrow \hat{A}_\mu = A_\mu + \alpha\partial_\mu Y\tau,\\
&B \longrightarrow \hat{B} = B,\\
&Y_\star \longrightarrow \hat{Y}_\star = Y_\star - \alpha B\tau,\\
&Y \longrightarrow \hat{Y} = Y,\\
&c \longrightarrow \hat{c} = c,\\
&c_\star \longrightarrow \hat{c}_\star = c_\star,
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where τ is a bosonic transformation parameter. The form invariance of quantum action (4), under the above quantum gauge transformation, reflects a natural shift in parameter α as following

$$\alpha \longrightarrow \hat{\alpha} = \alpha + \alpha\tau. \tag{6}$$

Furthermore, we note that the gaugeon fields are not the physical fields and, therefore, to define physical states we need to remove the unphysical modes associated with them. This can be achieved by imposing the following Gupta-Bleuler type subsidiary condition:

$$(Y_\star + \alpha B)^{(+)}|\text{phys}\rangle \;=\; 0. \tag{7}$$

This Gupta-Bleuler condition removes the unphysical gaugeon mode. However, the unphysical modes associated with the gauge field are removed by utilizing the Kugo-Ojima type restriction. The Kugo-Ojima type restriction is valid for all kind of theories but, having certain limitations, the Gupta-Bleuler type subsidiary condition is not. For example, the decomposition of combination $(Y_\star + \alpha B)$ in positive and negative frequency parts can be done only if the combination satisfies the following free equation:

$$\Box(Y_\star + \alpha B) = 0, \tag{8}$$

where $\Box = \partial_\mu\partial^\mu$. Furthermore, such kind of limitation is improved by introducing the Faddeev-Popov ghosts K and $K_\star$ corresponding to the gaugeon fields Y and $Y_\star$ in the Yokoyama effective action (5) as follows:

$$S^L_{YB} = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - A_\mu\partial^\mu B + \partial_\mu Y_\star\partial^\mu Y + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(Y_\star + \alpha B)^2 - i\partial^\mu c_\star\partial_\mu c - i\partial^\mu K_\star\partial_\mu K\right]. \tag{9}$$

Now, the effective action, S^L_{YB}, admits the following nilpotent BRST symmetry transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_b A_\mu &= -\partial_\mu c\;\eta, \quad \delta_b c = 0,\\
\delta_b c_\star &= iB\;\eta, \qquad \delta_b B = 0,\\
\delta_b Y &= -K\;\eta, \quad \delta_b K = 0,\\
\delta_b K_\star &= -iY_\star\;\eta, \quad \delta_b Y_\star = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Using the Noether's theorem we calculate the conserved charge corresponding to the BRST symmetry (10) as follows

$$Q = \int d^3x \left[-F^{0\nu}\partial_\nu c - \dot{c}B - Y_\star\dot{K}\right], \tag{11}$$

which helps in replacing the two Yokoyama subsidiary conditions (namely, Kugo-Ojima type and Gupta-Bleuler type (7)) by a single Kugo-Ojima type condition (for details see, e.g., [7]). The effective action (9) also admits the following quantum gauge transformations (δ_q):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta_q A_\mu = \alpha\partial_\mu Y\tau, \quad \delta_q B = 0,\\
&\delta_q Y_\star = -\alpha B\tau, \quad \delta_q Y = 0,\\
&\delta_q c = K\tau, \quad \delta_q c_\star = 0, \quad \delta_q K = 0,\\
&\delta_q K_\star = -c_\star\tau, \quad \delta_q\alpha = \alpha\tau,
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where τ is bosonic transformation parameter. Here we observe that these transformations are also nilpotent in nature, i.e. $\delta_q^2 = 0$.

Now, we define the path integral for the gaugeon-Maxwell theory in Lorentz gauge described by the action (9) as follows:

$$Z^L[0] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \; e^{iS^L_{YB}[\phi]}, \tag{13}$$

where the generic field ϕ refers all the fields collectively. Following the similar steps discussed above for the Lorentz gauge case, the path integral for the gaugeon-Maxwell theory in axial gauge is defined by

$$Z^A[0] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \; e^{iS^A_{YB}[\phi]}, \tag{14}$$

with the effective gaugeon-Maxwell action in axial gauge,

$$\begin{aligned} S^A_{YB} &= \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - A_\mu \eta^\mu B + \eta_\mu Y_\star \partial^\mu Y + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(Y_\star + \alpha B)^2 \right. \\ &- \left. i\eta^\mu c_\star \partial_\mu c - i\eta^\mu K_\star \partial_\mu K \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The effective action S^A_{YB} is also invariant under the BRST transformations (10).

III. FIELD-DEPENDENT QUANTUM GAUGE TRANSFORMATION

In this section, we investigate the methodology of the field-dependent quantum gauge transformation characterized by the field-dependent bosonic parameter. To achieve the goal, we first define the general nilpotent quantum gauge transformation for the generic field $\phi_\alpha(x)$, written compactly, as

$$\delta_q \phi_\alpha(x) = \phi'_\alpha(x) - \phi_\alpha(x) = \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\phi)\tau, \tag{16}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_\alpha(\phi)$ is the generic variation of field $\phi_\alpha(x)$ under the quantum gauge transformation satisfying $\delta_q \mathcal{R}_\alpha(x) = 0$ and τ is the parameter of transformation satisfying Bose-Einstein statistics.

Now, we propose the field-dependent quantum gauge transformation defined by

$$\delta_q \phi_\alpha(x) = \phi'_\alpha(x) - \phi_\alpha(x) = \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\phi)\tau[\phi], \tag{17}$$

where parameter of transformation $\tau[\phi]$ depends on fields explicitly. Now, it is obvious that such field-dependent quantum gauge transformations do not remain nilpotent any more. In spite of being non-nilpotent the field-dependent quantum gauge transformation (17) leaves the quantum action S^L_{YB} given in (9) form invariant. However, the functional measure defined in (13) is not invariant under such field-dependent quantum gauge transformation. If we apply the field-dependent quantum gauge transformation defined in (17) on the generating functional (13), the generating functional gets transformed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_q Z^L[0] &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi (\mathrm{Det} J[\phi]) e^{iS^L_{YB}[\phi]}, \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \; e^{i\left(S^L_{YB}[\phi] - i\mathrm{Tr}\ln J[\phi]\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Furthermore, we calculate the Jacobian matrix of field-dependent quantum gauge transformation (17) as

$$\begin{aligned} J_\alpha{}^\beta[\phi] = \frac{\delta \phi'_\alpha}{\delta \phi_\beta} &= \delta_\alpha{}^\beta + \frac{\delta \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\phi)}{\delta \phi_\beta}\tau[\phi] + \mathcal{R}_\alpha(x)\frac{\delta \tau[\phi]}{\delta \phi_\beta}, \\ &= \delta_\alpha{}^\beta + \mathcal{R}_\alpha{}^{,\beta}(\phi)\tau[\phi] + \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\phi)\tau^{,\beta}[\phi]. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Utilizing the nilpotency property of quantum gauge transformation (i.e. $\delta_q \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\phi) = 0$) and relation (19), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}\ln J[\phi] &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha{}^{,\beta}\tau + \mathcal{R}_\alpha \tau^{,\beta})^n, \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} (\delta_q \tau^\alpha[\phi])^n, \\
&= \ln(1 + \delta_q \tau[\phi]),
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $\tau[\phi]$ is considered up to linear order which reflects the infinitesimal nature of parameter even though it depends on the fields explicitly. Consequently, the expression (18) reads

$$\delta_q Z^L[0] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \; e^{i\{S^L_{YB}[\phi] - i\ln(1+\delta_q\tau[\phi])\}}, \tag{21}$$

which is nothing but the expression of generating functional for gaugeon-Maxwell theory having an additional term, $-i\ln(1 + \delta_q\tau[\phi])$, in the effective action due to the Jacobian. Therefore, we conclude that under the field-dependent quantum gauge transformation the generating functional changes from one effective action to another.

IV. CONNECTING DIFFERENT GAUGES OF GAUGEON-MAXWELL ACTION

In this section, we explicitly mention the remarkable features of the field-dependent quantum gauge transformation which connects the two different gauges of gaugeon-Maxwell theory for a particular choice of the field-dependent parameter. In this regard, we start by making the quantum gauge transformation defined in (12) field-dependent as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta_q A_\mu = \alpha \partial_\mu Y \tau[\phi], \quad \delta_q B = 0, \\
&\delta_q Y_\star = -\alpha B \tau[\phi], \quad \delta_q Y = 0, \\
&\delta_q c = K\tau[\phi], \quad \delta_q c_\star = 0, \quad \delta_q K = 0, \\
&\delta_q K_\star = -c_\star \tau[\phi], \quad \delta_q \alpha = \alpha\tau[\phi],
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $\tau[\phi]$ is the field-dependent transformation parameter. Here the specific choice of the field-dependent transformation parameter is made by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau[\phi] &= -\int d^4x \; \alpha Y_\star B(\alpha B)^{-2} \left[\exp\{i(A_\mu \partial^\mu B - \partial_\mu Y_\star \partial^\mu Y + i\partial^\mu c_\star \partial_\mu c + i\partial^\mu K_\star \partial_\mu K \right. \\
&- \left. A_\mu \eta^\mu B + \eta_\mu Y_\star \partial^\mu Y - i\eta^\mu c_\star \partial_\mu c - i\eta^\mu K_\star \partial_\mu K)\} - 1\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Now, the expression (20) for the above field-dependent transformation parameter yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln(1 + \delta_q\tau[\phi]) &= i\int d^4x \; (A_\mu \partial^\mu B - \partial_\mu Y_\star \partial^\mu Y + i\partial^\mu c_\star \partial_\mu c + i\partial^\mu K_\star \partial_\mu K \\
&- A_\mu \eta^\mu B + \eta_\mu Y_\star \partial^\mu Y - i\eta^\mu c_\star \partial_\mu c - i\eta^\mu K_\star \partial_\mu K).
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

With this identification, the expression (21) gets the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_q Z^L &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \; \exp\left[i\{S^L_{YB}[\phi] + \int d^4x \; (A_\mu \partial^\mu B - \partial_\mu Y_\star \partial^\mu Y + i\partial^\mu c_\star \partial_\mu c + i\partial^\mu K_\star \partial_\mu K \right. \\
&- \left. A_\mu \eta^\mu B + \eta_\mu Y_\star \partial^\mu Y - i\eta^\mu c_\star \partial_\mu c - i\eta^\mu K_\star \partial_\mu K)\}\right]. \\
&= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \; e^{iS^A_{YB}[\phi]} = Z^A,
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

which is nothing but the generating functional for gaugeon-Maxwell theory in axial gauge. Hence, the field-dependent quantum gauge transformation enables one to go back and forth between the two sets of gauges. We, therefore, end up the section with the remark that within gaugeon formalism the quantum gauge transformation with a particular choice of the field-dependent parameter maps the path integrals of the same effective theory in two different gauges.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have discussed the Maxwell theory in gaugeon formalism to analyse the quantum gauge freedom in great details. In the framework of gaugeon formalism, we have investigated the quantum gauge transformation characterized by an infinitesimal bosonic parameter which leaves the quantum action *form* invariant. Under the quantum gauge transformation a natural shift in gauge parameter has been observed. Furthermore, we have constructed the gaugeon-Maxwell action in two different gauges (namely, in the Lorentz and the axial gauges) possessing the BRST as well as the quantum gauge symmetries. The infinitesimal bosonic parameter of the quantum gauge transformation has been made field-dependent. Furthermore, the Jacobian of path integral measure under the field-dependent quantum gauge transformation has been computed. Remarkably, we have observed that under the field-dependent transformation with specific bosonic field-dependent parameter the generating functional of gaugeon-Maxwell theory changes from the Lorentz gauge to the axial gauge. Although there are many choices of the gauge condition but the physical quantities do not depend on any of them. Therefore, the spectrum of the physical theory remains unaltered under such field-dependent quantum gauge transformation.

We have made all the computations with the source free partition functions. However, it would be possible to make such analysis for partition functions having an external source. In my opinion, for the partition functions having an external source such analysis will connect the propagators corresponding to the appropriate gauges because the connection of propagators in the Lorentz and the axial gauges under finite field-dependent BRST transformation had already been established [33]. Also, there are not any ambiguities in dealing with the singularities of the propagators corresponding to the Lorentz and the axial gauges. Naturally, a large number of the practical as well as the formal calculations have been made in Lorentz gauges. The main disadvantage of Lorentz gauge choice in non-Abelian gauge theory is, however, that it requires a ghost action which complicates the calculations. For this reason, another set of gauge (namely the axial gauge) has often been founded favouring calculations. Therefore, it would be interesting to generalize the results in non-Abelian gauge theory, in higher form gauge theories and in perturbative gravity theory as well.

[1] K. Yokoyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. 51, 1956 (1974).
[2] K. Yokoyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. 59, 1699 (1978); Prog. Theor. Phys. 60, 1167 (1978); Phys. Lett. B 79, 79 (1978).
[3] K. Yokoyama and R. Kubo, Prog. Theor. Phys. 52, 290 (1974).
[4] K. Yokoyama, M. Takeda and M. Monda, Prog. Theor. Phys. 60, 927 (1978).
[5] K. Yokoyama, M. Takeda and M. Monda, Prog. Theor. Phys. 64, 1412 (1980).
[6] K. Izawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 88, 759 (1992).
[7] M. Koseki, M. Sato and R. Endo, Prog. Theor. Phys. 90, 1111 (1993).
[8] T. Kugo and I. Ojima, Prog. Theor. Phys. Supplement No. 66, 1 (1979).
[9] T. Kugo, I. Ojima, Phys. Lett. B 73, 459 (1978).
[10] M. Hayakawa and K Yokoyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. 44, 533 (1970).
[11] M. Koseki, M. Sato and R. Endo, Bull. of Yamagata Univ., Nat. Sci. 14, 15 (1996).
[12] Y. Nakawaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. 98, 5 (1997).
[13] R. Endo and M. Koseki, Prog. Theor. Phys. 103, 3, (2000).
[14] H. Miura and R Endo, Prog. Theor. Phys. 117, 4, (2007).
[15] M. Faizal, Commun. Theor. Phys. 57, 637 (2012).

[16] M. Faizal, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 27, 1250147 (2012).
[17] S. Upadhyay, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, 2737 (2014).
[18] S. Upadhyay, accepted in Ann. Phys. (2014).
[19] S. D. Joglekar and B. P. Mandal, Phys. Rev. D 51, 1919 (1995).
[20] S. Upadhyay, Phys. Lett. B 727, 293 (2013).
[21] S. Upadhyay, Ann. Phys. 340, 110 (2014).
[22] S. D. Joglekar and B. P. Mandal, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 17, 1279 (2002).
[23] R. Banerjee and B. P. Mandal, Phys. Lett. B 27, 488 (2000).
[24] S. Upadhyay, S. K. Rai and B. P. Mandal, J. Math. Phys. 52, 022301 (2011).
[25] S. D. Joglekar and A. Misra, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15, 1453 (2000).
[26] S. Upadhyay and B. P. Mandal, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 25, 3347 (2010); EPL 93, 31001 (2011); Eur. Phys. J. C 72, 2065 (2012); Ann. Phys. 327, 2885 (2012); AIP Conf. Proc. 1444, 213 (2012).
[27] S. Upadhyay, M. K. Dwivedi and B. P. Mandal, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 28, 1350033 (2013).
[28] M. Faizal, B. P. Mandal and S. Upadhyay, Phys. Lett. B 721, 159 (2013).
[29] R. Banerjee, B. Paul and S. Upadhyay, Phys. Rev. D 88, 065019 (2013).
[30] S. Upadhyay, EPL 104, 61001 (2013).
[31] R. Banerjee and S. Upadhyay, arXiv:1310.1168.
[32] M. Koseki, M. Sato and R. Endo, arXiv: 9510102.
[33] S. D. Joglekar and A. Misra, J. Math. Phys. 41, 1755 (2000).